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Background and Motivation

Ordinal data gathered from repeated administration of questionnaires, i.e., repeated measures, employing a rating scale are commonly deployed in field and laboratory studies. If there are a large number of subjects (e.g., \( n > 30 \)), the assumptions of parametric approach, namely, normality and homogeneity of variance are usually met. Therefore, parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) methods are frequently adopted to analyze these data.

However, in field and laboratory trials conducted at DRDC Toronto, situations have been frequently encountered in which small number of subjects, e.g., \( n < 15 \), are tested in repeated measure experiments. Due to the relatively small sample size, the violation of assumptions of an ANOVA is usually inevitable. Under these situations, researchers should use the Friedman test instead. The Friedman test is a nonparametric two-way ANOVA method that compares two or more related samples, and is equivalent to the repeated measures or within-subjects design of classical ANOVA procedures, where the assigned order of treatments is done randomly for each subject [1-3].

In our investigation, we found that although commercial statistical packages such as SPSS, SAS, and STATISTICA can perform a Friedman ANOVA [4-6], they lack the capability to easily and accurately carry out a post-hoc multiple comparison procedure, after Friedman test scores show a significant difference between the treatment groups.

Having seen a need for an accessible post-hoc multiple comparison procedure, the second and third authors of this report, implemented a Friedman test algorithm originally published by [7] using Quick BASIC, a programming language supported by the DOS Operating System. This program automates the Friedman score calculation and significantly simplifies the post-hoc multiple comparison analysis. Since then, this Quick Basic program (hereafter referred as the BASIC program) has been successfully applied in several DRDC projects, for example, CF CADPAT Rain suit evaluation trial, CF converged rain suit evaluation trial, CF snow boots (Mukluk) trial [8-10]. However, due to the constraints imposed by the programming language itself and the stringent requirement on its operating environment, this BASIC program has several intrinsic usability problems which create unnecessary difficulties to use and consequently limit its potentials. The major problems that have been identified are summarized as below.

1. Inadequate I/O. One of the major complaints received from users is the lack of I/O module. This BASIC program requires the raw data for analysis to be specified in a matrix format, stored in a 2D array, and defined inside the source code. As a result,
each time when a new set of data is to be analyzed, a programmer is needed to open the source code and populate the data manually. Additionally, two internal variables (i.e., row, col) are used in the program to keep track of the size of the data matrices. Values of these two variables also need to be assigned manually before the execution of the program. Currently, the program does not have a mechanism to check whether the assigned row and column values match the actual data size. This has been found to be particularly problematic. A typical error is that a programmer updated the raw data set, but forgot to change the values of the row and column variables. Without any warning, the BASIC program will continue to compute the test scores which are obviously mistaken.

Outputs of the program are the Friedman test scores. For verification purposes, the original raw data set and the intermediate computation results are also printed out for review. Currently, the BASIC program outputs all these information directly onto the screen. Because the program is running only under MS-DOS environment, it becomes a problem when the length of an output is longer than a screen view. The BASIC program does not provide a way for the user to retrieve the information beyond the current screen view. One awkward solution is suggested to work around this problem by reducing the size of the raw data set and ensures that the output can be printed inside one screen view.

2. Operating system constraints. The program was written in Quick BASIC on DOS. Since the Quick BASIC is an interpreted language, in contrast to a compiled language such as C/C++, the running of a BASIC program requires an interpreter which reads in one line of a program and executes it before going to the next line. There are two primary drawbacks with this interpretation approach. Firstly, the interpreter needed for executing this BASIC program only runs on DOS. Consequently, to use the BASIC program for a Friedman test, one needs to find a computer which speaks DOS and has a Quick BASIC installed on it. Since the primary Operating System currently installed and supported at DRDC Toronto is the MS-Windows family OSs, it increasingly becomes a headache to locate a DOS machine for running the BASIC program. Secondly, another problem with the interpretation approach is its slow execution. Since the interpreter must read a line, translate it, find the corresponding machine level code, and then execute the instructions, interpreted language program runs significantly slower than its compiled language counterpart. This imposes a potential limitation of the BASIC program especially when there is a large data set is analyzed.

3. Lack of feedback and help. Feedback during program execution often serves as diagnostic information that allows users to interpret the state of the analysis, while the help functions provide additional support for using a program. Those are important usability features. However, because Quick BASIC supports very limited interaction features, the BASIC program does not produces any feedback during its execution and does not provide any help functions.
4. Poor result formatting. After a Friedman test revealed a significant treatment effect, a post-hoc pair-wise comparison is conducted. Results of each comparison are printed individually. Users need to refer back to the mean rank value of each treatment before categorizing different treatment conditions and draw meaningful conclusions.

These problems together determine that the BASIC program will only be a tool that a few can manage to use. It is our intention to revamp the program, enhance it with user-friendly features, and improve its interfaces so that more researchers will benefit from it.
The C program

Considering the C language is widely supported by most Operating Systems, it was decided that the new Friedman test was rewritten using C. This new program (hereafter referred as the C program) consists of several modules. The core of the C program is the Friedman test score calculation. The same algorithm used in the previous BASIC program was adopted and directly translated into C. An input module was created which allow the program to read in raw data from a data file and automatically calculate the size of the data matrices. Compared with the output from the BASIC program, the C program enhanced its output module. Results from pairwise comparison were further analyzed and categorized following the style used in other Statistics software, e.g., SAS. Analysis results were stored in a text file and could be reviewed by any text editor. The source code of the full C program is included in the annex of this report. The enhanced features of the C program are highlighted here.

1. Multiple OS support. The C program is ANSI C compliant, which ensures that the executables generated from this code can be compiled and run on different operating systems. Currently, the code has passed tests on Linux (Redhat 8.0, 9.0) and Ms-Windows (98, ME, 2000, XP) environments. Since the executables are compiled from the same source code, interface consistency is ensured between the Windows and Linux versions of the program.

2. Separating data from algorithm. Raw data is stored in a separate text file. Before running an analysis, one needs to specify the name/location of the raw data file and the C program will read all the data into the memory, automatically recognize the size (i.e., row and column numbers) of the raw data set, and compute the Friedman scores.

3. Constant feedback. While the program is performing the calculation, text feedback is print out on the screen to inform users the current state of calculation.

4. Structured output. Friedman test scores, together with the intermediate computation results are stored in an output file for reviewing. A complete capturing of the computation process allows users to identify and locate potential problems that might happen during the program execution. A SAS style significance plot is also generated for ease interpretation of the results.

5. A help function is included for clear command line instructions.

6. The compiled program provides fast execution performance compared with the original BASIC program.
7. To further simplify the data file specification, a batch script is created for Windows version of the C program. The user can specify the data source file inside the script and the script will automatically call up the executables, process the source data, compute the Friedman scores, and show the analysis results by bringing up the default text editor defined by the operating systems.
Instructions on how to use the C program

Installation

Useful program files are compressed into a single zip file, named friedman.zip. This zip file is self-extractable which means that no uncompressing software is further needed to extract program files. Double clicking the friedman.zip file icon will initiate the installation. Following on-screen instructions, users are able to specify default directory and go through the installation.

Files

A new directory called /friedman is created after the installation. This is the default working directory for running the program. Initially, it includes three files and two sub-directories. They are explained in detail below.

1. friedman.bat This is a script batch file in which users specify source data for analysis. Double clicking on this file will start an analysis. In sequence, this batch script will first call friedman.exe, compute the Friedman scores, and then call the default text editor to reveal the results.

2. sample_data.txt Raw data need to be stored in a text file and using a comma delimited format. For demonstration purposes, a sample data file is included.

3. friedman_output.txt This is the output file where test results are stored. It can be reviewed by any text editor (e.g., a notepad). Detailed explanation of a typical output will be presented later on in this report.

4. /exe/friedman.exe Sub-directory /exe include the main executable of the C program, friedman.exe. This executable contains the core algorithm for perform the data analysis. Users do not need to interact directly with this program. It is called upon by friedman.bat during an analysis.

5. /src/friedman.c This /src sub-directory holds a copy of the C source code. Permission is granted to anyone to use this software for research purpose, and to alter it and redistribute it freely.
Data analysis process

Conducting a Friedman test using the C program typically involves three steps:

1. Format raw data
   All data should be formatted as comma delimited and saved into a standalone text file inside the default working directory, i.e., /friedman. For demonstration purpose, a sample data file can be found in the default working directory i.e., /friedman/sample_data.txt.

2. Specify raw data inside the batch script friedman.bat.
   The friedman.bat can be opened with any text editor (e.g., notepad.exe). For ease explanation, the content of a batch script is included here. The only user modification is required on line 13, where the actual source data file, as created in step #1, needs to be specified. The rest of the content is self-explanatory.

   ```
   1. echo off
   2. rem # Script for running Friedman and Quade tests under Windows OSs
   3. rem #
   4. rem # Created by: Wenbi.Wang@drdc-rddc.gc.ca
   5. rem # Last modified: July 16, 2004
   6.
   7. rem #####################################################################
   8. rem # INSTRUCTIONS: replace the "sample_data.txt" with the real data file
   9. rem #####################################################################
   10.
   11. echo on
   12.
   13. call \exe\friedman.exe sample_data.txt
   14. call write.exe friedman_output.txt
   ```

3. Initiate the analysis by double-clicking on the batch script.
   Upon completion, output file is then automatically saved and further presented for reviewing.

Output break down

Output from an analysis is stored in a text file, friedman_output.txt, and stored in the default working directory, /friedman. A typical output can be divided into two sections. For ease interpretation, a sample output file is included in the report.

Section 1 displays the raw data and three intermediate computation results. The first line of the output file shows the source data file that is used for analysis. In this example, it is sample_data.txt. Then the size of the source data, row and column
number of the data matrix, and the full set of raw data are displayed. User can double check the data in the output file to ensure that the raw data were correctly read into the memory for processing. Following the raw data, three intermediate calculation results, i.e., rank of sample ranges, sum of ranks for each treatment, and intermediate variable $S_{ij}$, are presented for debugging purposes.

Section 2 shows the Friedman test results, the $p$ value. In situation a significant treatment effect has been identified, further pair-wise comparison is performed and results are included, as shown in the included example output. Treatment conditions are then categorized and displaced based on three significance levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source data file for Friedman test: sample_data.txt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The size of the raw data matrix is: row = 12; column = 8.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A list of your raw data:

|  -10.000 |  13.000 |  42.000 |  28.000 |  41.000 |  31.000 |   9.000 |  0.000 |
|   2.000 |  6.000 |  210.000 |  398.000 |  235.000 |  198.000 |  99.000 |  73.000 |
|  6.000 |  35.000 |  403.000 |  270.000 |  251.000 |  117.000 |  44.000 |  21.000 |
| -13.000 | -29.000 |  344.000 |  260.000 |  161.000 |  177.000 | -79.000 | -81.000 |
|  0.000 |  0.000 |  729.000 |  579.000 |  596.000 |  386.000 | 318.000 | 300.000 |
|  0.000 |  51.000 |  47.000 |  27.000 |   1.000 |  19.000 |  -3.000 |  -1.000 |
|  0.000 |  6.000 |  18.000 | -3.000 |  -24.000 |  10.000 |  -9.000 |   1.000 |
|  1.000 |  17.000 |  50.000 |  65.000 |  34.000 |  -1.000 | -28.000 | -1.000 |
|  17.000 | 159.000 |  72.000 |  50.000 |  28.000 |  34.000 |  37.000 | -1.000 |
|  9.000 |  80.000 | 148.000 | 146.000 |  84.000 | -1.000 |  64.000 |  35.000 |
| 29.000 |  29.000 | 226.000 | 298.000 | 148.000 | 137.000 |  92.000 |  71.000 |
| 17.000 | -19.000 |  71.000 | 166.000 |  78.000 | -24.000 |   8.000 | -8.000 |

A list of your ranked data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank of sample ranges:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sum of ranks for each treatment:
30.000  49.000  88.000  79.000  65.000  52.500  38.000  30.500

List of Sij:

-7.000  -1.000   7.000   1.000   5.000   3.000  -3.000  -5.000
-35.000 -15.000  35.000  25.000  15.000   5.000 -15.000-25.000
-36.000 -36.000  42.000  18.000  30.000   6.000 -18.000 -18.000
-4.500  10.500  -7.500  -4.500  1.000 -10.500 -7.500 -5.000
-0.500  1.500   3.500  -3.500  2.500 -2.500  0.500 -0.500
-2.000  2.000  10.000  14.000  6.000 -8.000 -14.000 -8.000
-15.000  21.000  15.000  9.000  -9.000  3.000 -21.000 -9.000
-12.500  2.500  17.500  12.500  7.500 -17.500 -2.500 -7.500
-24.000 -24.000  20.000  26.000 12.000  4.000 -4.000 -12.000

************************************************************************

Results from the Friedman test
The Friedman test A2 = 2446.500
The Friedman test B2 = 2220.125
the Friedman test T2 = 13.417      p = 8.300820e-07

Difference between sums for Friedman test:

Observation 1
-observation 1 = 0.000  NS
-observation 2 = 19.000 p<0.05
-observation 3 = 58.000 p<0.001
-observation 4 = 49.000 p<0.001
-observation 5 = 35.000 p<0.001
-observation 6 = 22.500 p<0.01
-observation 7 = 8.000  NS
-observation 8 = 0.500  NS

Observation 2
-observation 1 = 19.000 p<0.05
-observation 2 = 0.000  NS
-observation 3 = 39.000 p<0.001
-observation 4 = 30.000 p<0.001
-observation 5 = 16.000 p<0.05
-observation 6 = 3.500  NS
-observation 7 = 11.000  NS
-observation 8 = 18.500 p<0.05

Observation 3
-observation 1 = 58.000 p<0.001
-observation 2 = 39.000 p<0.001
-observation 3 = 0.000  NS
-observation 4 = 9.000  NS
-observation 5 = 23.000 p<0.01
-observation 6 = 35.500 p<0.001
-observation 7 = 50.000 p<0.001
-observation 8 = 57.500 p<0.001

Observation 4
-observation 1 = 49.000 p<0.001
-observation 2 = 30.000 p<0.001
-observation 3 = 9.000  NS
-observation 4 = 0.000  NS
-observation 5 = 14.000 p<0.05
-observation 6 = 26.500 p<0.01
-observation 7 = 41.000 p<0.001
-observation 8 = 48.500 p<0.001

Observation 5
-observation 1 = 35.000 p<0.001
-observation 2 = 16.000 p<0.05
-observation 3 = 23.000 p<0.01
-observation 4 = 14.000 p<0.05
Observation 5
- observation 5 = 0.000 NS
- observation 6 = 12.500 NS
- observation 7 = 27.000 p<0.001
- observation 8 = 34.500 p<0.001

Observation 6
- observation 1 = 22.500 p<0.01
- observation 2 = 3.500 NS
- observation 3 = 35.500 p<0.001
- observation 4 = 26.500 p<0.01
- observation 5 = 12.500 NS
- observation 6 = 0.000 NS
- observation 7 = 14.500 p<0.05
- observation 8 = 22.000 p<0.01

Observation 7
- observation 1 = 8.000 NS
- observation 2 = 11.000 NS
- observation 3 = 50.000 p<0.001
- observation 4 = 41.000 p<0.001
- observation 5 = 27.000 p<0.001
- observation 6 = 14.500 p<0.05
- observation 7 = 0.000 NS
- observation 8 = 7.500 NS

Observation 8
- observation 1 = 0.500 NS
- observation 2 = 18.500 p<0.05
- observation 3 = 57.500 p<0.001
- observation 4 = 48.500 p<0.001
- observation 5 = 34.500 p<0.001
- observation 6 = 22.000 p<0.01
- observation 7 = 7.500 NS
- observation 8 = 0.000 NS

Means with the same grouping letters are NOT significantly different!

Significance level: 0.001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grouping</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>49.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>52.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>79.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>88.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>55.000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level: 0.01

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grouping</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>38.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>49.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>52.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grouping</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>52.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>79.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88.000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level: 0.05

End of analysis
Concluding remarks

Stringent test procedures were followed throughout the development. The original sample data from [7] were repeatedly used to verify the C program during the coding process. After the C program was completed, a series of testing have been conducted. Sample data set were fed through both the BASIC program and the C program, identical results were always obtained indicating the validity of the C program. Furthermore, the C program has been successfully applied in a recent study comparing IPE (Individual Protection Ensemble) and COLPRO (Collective Protection). Results once again justified the usefulness of this analyzing tool.

Besides a structured usability study, future plans of this project also include the further development of the Friedman test and integrate it with other commercial available software packages.

To sum up, since the Friedman test is a distribution free test, there are no strong assumptions associated with the source data. It has been proved to be a powerful method for analyzing ranking data. The newly revamped C program resolved major usability problems existed in the previous BASIC program and enhanced its capabilities. This C program is expected to benefit more researchers in wide range of future studies.
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Annex

C Source code of Friedman test

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <math.h>

// define the maximum raw data matrix to be 1000x1000.
#define ROW 15
#define COL 15

float calculate_F(int, int, float);
float calculate_T(int, int, float);

int main(int argc, char** argv)
{
    FILE* raw_data_file;
    FILE* output_file;

    int row = 0;
    int column = 0;
    int i, j, k;
    float t3, t4, t5;

float raw_data[ROW][COL];
float ranked_data[ROW][COL];
float sampled_range[ROW];
float rank_of_sample_range[ROW];
float sum_of_ranks[COL];
float sij[ROW][COL];
float a1 = 0;
float b1 = 0;
float B[COL];
float t1, t9;
double p;
double e;
float t6;
float a2 = 0;
float b2 = 0;
float t2 = 0;
float sorted_sum_of_ranks[COL];
int sorted_column[COL];
int counter;
int current_group_no;
int group_flag[COL][COL]; // assume each treatment can belong to maximun
'column' groups
int stop_at = 1; // record the treatment number the algorithm
previous stopped
instead of a
int significance[ROW][COL]; // keep track of the significance between any
paired
// conditions

// open up two files
if(argc != 2) {
    printf("\nUsage:friedman_win.exe data_file\n\n")
    exit(1);
}

raw_data_file = fopen(argv[1], "r");
if(raw_data_file == NULL) {
    printf("\nFailed to open raw data file!\n\n")
    exit(1);
}

output_file = fopen("output.txt", "a");
if(raw_data_file == NULL) {
    printf("\nFailed to open output file!\n\n")
    exit(1);
}

fprintf(output_file,
"=====================================================================================\n
Source data file for Friedman analysis: %s\n", argv[1]);
fprintf(output_file,
"=====================================================================================\n
// get the size of the raw data set
while(!feof(raw_data_file)) {
    char ch;
    ch = fgetc(raw_data_file);
    if(ch == ',') {
        if(row==0) column++; // determine the number of columns from the
first row
    } else if(ch == '\n') {
        row++; // count the current row number (start from 0)
column++; // the last column wasn't added in the above routine
fclose(raw_data_file);

if(row >= ROW || column >= COL) {
    printf("Error: Raw data exceed program processing limit. Contact
    Wenbi.Wang@drdc-rddc.gc.ca\n\n");
    exit(1);
}

// print out the row, column numbers
fprintf(output_file, "The size of the raw data matrix is: row = %d; column = %d. \n\n", row, column);

// read the raw data into the array

raw_data_file = fopen(argv[1], "r");
if(raw_data_file == NULL) {
    fprintf(output_file, "Failed to open raw data file!!\n\n");
    exit(1);
}
for(i=0; i<row; i++) {
    for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
        float data;
        fscanf(raw_data_file, "%f," , &data);
        raw_data[i][j] = data;
    }
}

fprintf(output_file, " A list of your raw data:\n\n\n");
for(i=0; i<row; i++) {
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        fprintf(output_file, " %8.3f ", raw_data[i][j]);
    fprintf(output_file, "\n\n\n");
}

// rank the data and print them out

for(i=0; i<row; i++) {
    float small, large, tmp;
    small = raw_data[i][0];
    large = raw_data[i][0];
    for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
        if(small > raw_data[i][j]) small = raw_data[i][j];
        if(large < raw_data[i][j]) large = raw_data[i][j];
        tmp = 0.5;
        for(k=0; k<column; k++) {
            if(raw_data[i][k] < raw_data[i][j]) tmp++;
            else if(raw_data[i][k] == raw_data[i][j]) tmp+=0.5;
        } // ranked_data[i][j] = tmp;
    }
    sampled_range[i] = large - small;
}

fprintf(output_file, "\nA list of your ranked data:\n\n\n\n");

//------------------ rank overservation ranges and store
for(i=0; i < row; i++) {
    float tmp = 0.5;
    for(j=0; j<row; j++) {
        if(sampled_range[j] < sampled_range[i]) tmp++;
        if(sampled_range[j] == sampled_range[i]) tmp+=0.5;
    }
    rank_of_sample_range[i] = tmp;
}
fprintf(output_file, "\nRank of sample ranges:\n\n");
for(i=0; i<row; i++) {
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        fprintf(output_file, " %8.3f ", ranked_data[i][j]);
    fprintf(output_file, "\n\nsample range = %8.3f,\t rank of sample range:%3.0f\n", sampled_range[i], rank_of_sample_range[i]);
}

for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
    sum_of_ranks[j]=0;
    for(i=0; i<row; i++)
        sum_of_ranks[j] = sum_of_ranks[j] + ranked_data[i][j];
}
fprintf(output_file, "\nSum of ranks for each treatment:\n\n");
for(j=0; j<column; j++)
    fprintf(output_file, " %8.3f ", sum_of_ranks[j]);
fprintf(output_file, "\n\n");

for(i=0; i<row; i++)
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        sij[i][j]=rank_of_sample_range[i]*(ranked_data[i][j]-(column/2 + 0.5));
fprintf(output_file, "\nList of Sij:\n\n");
for(i=0; i<row; i++) {
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        fprintf(output_file, " %8.3f ", sij[i][j]);
    fprintf(output_file, "\n");
}

for(i=0; i<row; i++)
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        a1 = a1 + sij[i][j] * sij[i][j];
fprintf(output_file, "\n\n**************************************************************\n");
fprintf(output_file, "Resules from the Quade Test\n\n");
fprintf(output_file, "The Quade Test A1 = %8.3f\n", a1);

for(i=0; i<row; i++)
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        si1[i][j]=rank_of_sample_range[i]*(ranked_data[i][j]-(column/2 + 0.5));
fprintf(output_file, "\nList of Sij:\n\n");
for(i=0; i<row; i++) {
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        fprintf(output_file, " %8.3f ", si1[i][j]);
    fprintf(output_file, "\n");
}

for(i=0; i<row; i++)
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        a1 = a1 + si1[i][j] * si1[i][j];
fprintf(output_file, "\n\n**************************************************************\n");
fprintf(output_file, "Resules from the Quade Test\n\n");
fprintf(output_file, "The Quade Test A1 = %8.3f\n", a1);
for(i=0; i<column; i++) {
  B[i] = 0;
  for(j=0; j<row; j++) {
    B[i] += s[i][j];
  }
  b1 += B[i]*B[i];
}
b1 /= row;

fprintf(output_file, "The Quade Test B1 = %8.3f\n", b1);

/////////////////// Calculate t1 for the quade test

t1 = ((row-1)*b1)/(a1-b1);

fprintf(output_file, "The Quade Test T1 = %8.3f\", t1);
t9 = t1;

///////// calculate T distribution quantiles (by calling calculate_T())
t3 = calculate_T(row, column, 0.05);
t4 = calculate_T(row, column, 0.01);
t5 = calculate_T(row, column, 0.001);

/////////// call the calculate_F() function

p = calculate_F(row, column, t9);

fprintf(output_file, "p = %e\n", p);

///////////////////// Do not perform multiple comparisons if
///////////////////// overall test is not significant
if(p<=0.05) {
  t6 = sqrt(((2*row*(a1 - b1))/(row-1)*(column-1)));
  t6 *= sqrt((2*row*(a1 - b1))/(row-1)*column);
  for(i=0; i<row; i++) {
    for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
      if(e<0) e=-e;
      fprintf(output_file, "Difference between sums for Quade Test

      Observation %d
", i+1);
      for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
        e = B[i]-B[j];
        if(e<0) e=-e;
        fprintf(output_file, "    - observation %d = %8.3f", j+1, e);
      }
      if(e>t6*t5) fprintf(output_file, "   p<0.001\n");
      else if(e>t6*t4) fprintf(output_file, "   p<0.01\n");
      else if(e>t6*t3) fprintf(output_file, "   p<0.05\n");
      else fprintf(output_file, "   NS\n");
    }
  }
}

//////////////// calcualate a2 for the friedman test

for(i=0; i<row; i++) {
  for(j=0; j<column; j++)
    a2 = a2 + ranked_data[i][j]*ranked_data[i][j];
}

fprintf(output_file, "Results from the Friedman test\n");
fprintf(output_file, "The Friedman test A2 = %8.3f\n", a2);

/////////// Calculate b2 for the friedman test
for(j=0; j<column; j++)
    b2 = b2 + sum_of_ranks[j]*sum_of_ranks[j];

b2 /= row;

fprintf(output_file, "The Friedman test B2 = %8.3f\n", b2);

// calculate T2 for the Friedman test

t2 = ((row-1)*(b2-(row*column*(column+1)*(column+1)/4)))/(a2-b2);

fprintf(output_file, "the Friedman test T2 = %8.3f", t2);

t9 = t2;

// call the calculate_F() function
p = calculate_F(row, column, t9);
fprintf(output_file, " p = %e\n", p);

// Do not perform multiple comparisons if overall test is not significant

if (p<=0.05) {
    t6 = sqrt((2*row*(a2 - b2))/((row-1)*(column-1)));
    fprintf(output_file, "\nDifference between sums for Friedman test:\n\n");
    for(i=0; i<column; i++) {
        for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
            e=sum_of_ranks[i]-sum_of_ranks[j];
            if(e<0) e*=-1;
            fprintf(output_file, "  -observation %d = %8.3f", j+1, e);
            if(e>t6*t5) {
                fprintf(output_file, " p<0.001\n");
                significance[i][j] = 3;
            }
            else if(e>t6*t4) {
                fprintf(output_file, " p<0.01\n");
                significance[i][j] = 2;
            }
            else if(e>t6*t3) {
                fprintf(output_file, " p<0.05\n");
                significance[i][j] = 1;
            }
            else {
                fprintf(output_file, " NS\n");
                significance[i][j] = 0;
            }
        }
    }
}

// print out significance table

/* for(i=0; i<column; i++) { */
for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
    printf("\t%f", significance[i][j]);
}
printf("\n");
}*/

_QUESTIONuestion

// Grouping
fprintf(output_file, "\n\nMeans with the same same grouping letters are NOT significantly different!\n")

/// sorting the sum_of_ranks[]
for(i=0; i<column; i++) {
    counter = 0;
    for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
        if(sum_of_ranks[i] > sum_of_ranks[j]) counter++;
        if((sum_of_ranks[i] == sum_of_ranks[j]) && (i>j)) counter++;
    }
    // printf("counter=%d\n", counter);
    sorted_sum_of_ranks[counter] = sum_of_ranks[i];
    sorted_column[counter] = i;
    // printf("%f, %d\n", sorted_sum_of_ranks[counter],
    sorted_column[counter]);
}
// at this point, sorted_sum_of_ranks[] should be ready and sorted_column[]
// has the associated original column number stored wrt to the
// sorted_sum_of_ranks[]

/*
// code here copied three times to calculate different significance level
(p<0.001)
fprintf(output_file,
 "======================================================\n")
fprintf(output_file, "Significance level: 0.001\n")
printf(output_file, "\tGrouping\t\tTreatment\tMean\n\n");
for(i=0; i<column; i++)
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        group_flag[i][j] = 0;

current_group_no = 1; // initial group starts from 1
for(i=0; i<column-1; i++) {
    if((significance[sorted_column[i]][sorted_column[stop_at]] < 3)&&(stop_at != -1)) { //test if the new tested group is not a subgroup of previous ones
        for(k=i; k<column; k++)
            if(group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] == 0) {
                group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] =
                current_group_no;
            }
        break;
    }
}
for(j=i+1; j<column; j++) {
    if(significance[sorted_column[i]][sorted_column[j]] < 3) {
        for(k=i; k<column; k++)
            if(group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] == 0) {
                group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] =
                current_group_no;
            }
        break;
    }
}
if(j==column - 1) stop_at = -1; // the end of treatment has been reached, no need for further grouping
}
else {
    stop_at = j;
    break;
}
}
current_group_no++;
}
/*
 for(i=0; i<column; i++) {
    for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
        printf("%d\t", group_flag[sorted_column[i]][j]);
    }
    printf("\n");
    printf("\n");
}*/
for(i=0; i<column; i++) {
    for(j=column-1; j>=0; j--) {
        if(group_flag[sorted_column[j]][i] == 0)
            fprintf(output_file, "   ", group_flag[sorted_column[j]][i]);
        else    fprintf(output_file, " %c ", group_flag[sorted_column[j]][i]+64); // print out letters instead of numbers
    }
    fprintf(output_file, "%d %f\n\n", sorted_column[i]+1, sorted_sum_of_ranks[i]);
}  ////////// end of significance level (p<0.001)
/////////// code here copied three times to calculate different significance level (p<0.01)
fprintf(output_file, "======================================================
");  fprintf(output_file, "Significance level: 0.01\n");  fprintf(output_file, "	Grouping		Treatment	Mean\n");
for(i=0; i<column; i++)
    for(j=0; j<column; j++)
        group_flag[i][j] = 0;
current_group_no = 1; // initial group starts from 1
stop_at = 1;
for(i=0; i<column-1; i++) {
    if((significance[sorted_column[i]][sorted_column[stop_at]] < 2) && (stop_at!=-1)) { //test if the new tested group is not a subgroup of previous ones
        for(k=i; k<column; k++)
            if(group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] == 0) {
                group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] = current_group_no;
                break;
            }
    }
    for(j=i+1; j<column; j++) {
        if(significance[sorted_column[i]][sorted_column[j]] < 2) {
            for(k=i; k<column; k++)
                if(group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] == 0)
current_group_no;
    break;
}  
if(j==column - 1) stop_at = -1; // the end of 
treatment has been reached, no need for further grouping 
else { 
    stop_at = j;
    break;  
}
}  
current_group_no++;
}

for(i=0; i<column; i++) {  
    for(j=column-1; j>=0; j--) {  
        if(group_flag[sorted_column[j]][i] == 0) 
            fprintf(output_file, "  ", 
        else    fprintf(output_file, " %c ", 
            group_flag[sorted_column[j]][i]+64);
    }
    fprintf(output_file, "          %d          %f

",
        sorted_column[i]+1, sorted_sum_of_ranks[i]);
}

for(i=0; i<column-1; i++) {  
    if((significance[sorted_column[i]][sorted_column[stop_at]] < 1)&&(stop_at!=-1)) { //test if the new tested group is not a subgroup of previous ones  
        for(k=i; k<column; k++)  
            if(group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] == 0) {  
                group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] = current_group_no;  
                break;  
            }
    }  
    for(j=i+1; j<column; j++) {  
        if((significance[sorted_column[i]][sorted_column[j]] < 1)
for(k=i; k<column; k++)
    if(group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] == 0)
        group_flag[sorted_column[i]][k] =
        current_group_no;
    break;

if(j==column - 1) stop_at = -1; // the end of
treatment has been reached, no need for further grouping

else {
    stop_at = j;
    break;
}

current_group_no++;
}

/*
   for(i=0; i<column; i++) {
       for(j=0; j<column; j++) {
           printf("%d	", group_flag[sorted_column[i]][j]);
       }
       printf("\n");
   }
   printf("\n");
*/
for(i=0; i<column; i++) {
    for(j=column-1; j>=0; j--) {
        if(group_flag[sorted_column[j]][i] == 0)
            fprintf(output_file, "",&c3
        else    fprintf(output_file, "%c ",&c3
        group_flag[sorted_column[j]][i]+64);
    }
    fprintf(output_file, "%d %f\n\n",sorted_column[i]+1, sorted_sum_of_ranks[i]);
}

fprintf(output_file,
"==========================================\n");

\n\n+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
\nEnd of analysis\n\n+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

fclose(raw_data_file);
fclose(output_file);

return 0;
}

float calculate_F(int row, int col, float t9) {
    double c1, c2, c3, c4, c5, c6, c7, c8, c9;
    double p = 0;

    c3 = 0;
    c1 = col - 1;
    c2 = (col-1)*(row-1);

    // trunc1 is a GCC building function, use floorl instead in generating windows code.
    // if(c1 == (2*trunc1(c1/2 + 0.1))) {
    if(c1 == (2*(floor1(c1/2 + 0.1)))) {
        c4 = 1/(1+c2/(t9*c1));

c5 = c1 + 1;
c6 = c2 - 2;
c7 = 0;
c8 = 1;
c9 = 2;
do {
    c7 = c7 + c8;
c8 = c8 * c4 * (c9+c6)/c9;
c9 = c9 + 2;
} while (c9<c5);
if(c3==0) {
    p = 100*c7*(pow(sqrt(1-c4), c2));
} else {
    p = 100*c7*(pow(sqrt(1-c4), c1));
}
// } else if(c2 == 2*trunc1(c2/2+0.1)) {
} else if(c2 == 2*(floor1(c2/2+0.1))) {
    c3 = 1;
c4 = 1/(1+t9*c1/c2);
c5 = c2 + 1;
c6 = c1 - 2;
c7 = 0;
c8 = 1;
c9 = 2;
do {
    c7 = c7 + c8;
c8 = c8 * c4 * (c9+c6)/c9;
c9 = c9 + 2;
} while (c9<c5);
if(c3==0) {
    p = 100*c7*(pow(sqrt(1-c4), c2));
} else {
    p = 100*c7*(pow(sqrt(1-c4), c1));
}
} else {
    c4 = 1/(1+t9*c1/c2);
c7 = 0;
c8 = 1;
c9 = 2;
c5 = c2;
while (c9 < c5) {
    c7 = c7 + c8;
c8 = c8*c4*c9/(c9+1);
c9 = c9+2;
}
c8 = c8*c2;
c9 = 3;
c5 = c1 + 1;
c6 = c2 - 2;
while (c9 < c5) {
    c7 = c7 - c8;
c8 = c8*(1-c4)*(c9+c6)/c9;
c9 = c9+2;
}
t9 = atan(sqrt(t9*c1/c2));
p = 100*(1-2*(t9+c7*sqrt((1-c4)*c4))/3.14159);
}
if(p<=50) {
    p = p/100;
    if (p<0) p=-1;
} else {
    p = 100 - p;
p = p/100;
    if (p<0) p=-1;
}
return p;
}

/////////////// calculate T distribution quantiles
float calculate_T(int row, int col, float q) {
    float q1 = q;
    float q2 = (col - 1)*(row - 1);

    float q3, q4, q5, q6, q7, q8, q9, y, y1, y2, y3, y4, t;
    if(q2 > 2) {
        q4 = 1/(q2-0.5);
        q5 = 48/(q4*q4);
        q6 = ((20700*q4/q5-98)*q4-16)+96.36;
        q7 = ((94.5/(q5+q6)-3)/q5+1)*sqrt(q4*1.5708)*q2;
        q8 = q7*q1;
        y = pow(q8, (2/q2));
        if(y > 0.05+q4) {
            q9 = sqrt(-2*log(q1));
            q8 = 2.51552+q9*(0.802853+0.010328*q9);
            q9 = q9 - q8/(1+q9*(1.43279+q9*(0.189269+0.001308*q9)))
            y = (2*q8)*(2*q8);
            if(q2<5)
                q6 = q6 + 0.3*(q2-4.5)*(q8+0.6);
            else
                q6 = (((0.05*q7*q8-5)*q8-7)*q8+q5+q6;
                y = (((((0.4*y+6.3)*y+36)*y+94.5)/q6-y-3)/q5+1)*q8;
                y = q8*y*y;
                if(y >0.002) y = exp(y) - 1;
                if(y<0.002) y = 0.5*y*y+y;
                y = sqrt(q2*y);
        } else {
            y1 = (q2+2)*3;
            y2 = q24;
            y3 = q24+1;
            y4 = q24+6;
            y = (1/((y4/(q2*y)-0.089*q7-0.822)*y1)+0.5/y2)*y-1)*y3/(q2+2)+1;
            t = sqrt(q2*y);
        }
    } else {
        if(q2 == 1) t = cos(q1*1.5708)/sin(q1*1.5708);
        if(q2 == 2) t = sqrt(2/(q1*(2-q1)))-2;
    }
    return t;
}
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