

**PREPARATION OF ENERGETIC THERMOPLASTIC ELASTOMERS
AND THEIR INCORPORATION INTO GREENER INSENSITIVE
MELT CAST EXPLOSIVES**

Guy Ampleman*, André Marois, Patrick Brousseau, Sonia Thiboutot, Serge Trudel and
Pascal Béland
DRDC Valcartier, Québec, Canada
2459 Pie XI Blvd North
Québec, Canada
guy.ampleman@drdc-rddc.gc.ca

ABSTRACT

For years, DRDC Valcartier has been developing energetic thermoplastic elastomers (ETPEs) based on linear Glycidyl Azide Polymers (GAPs). The latest ETPEs developed at DRDC Valcartier are copolyurethane thermoplastic elastomers. These copolymers were solubilised in melted trinitrotoluene (TNT) and incorporated into explosive formulations to get insensitive melt cast explosives. The damping effect from the polymeric ETPEs gave the insensitive character and as a result, an insensitive explosive formulation named XRT for eXperimental Rubbery TNT was developed and later led directly to the development of the new insensitive recyclable green explosive (GIM). Work was conducted on GIM explosives to test their performance and sensitivity, their fate and behaviour into the environment, their recycling and their value as ingredients to produce a green weapon based on these concepts. Since ETPEs are recyclable, the recycling and reuse of the new formulations at the end of their life cycle was studied. During the testing of the GIM explosives, ageing tests revealed that exudation of the commercially produced ETPE occurred over a long period of time, jeopardizing the use of these ETPEs in these explosive formulations. Intense work was conducted on this commercial polymer to understand the issues and solutions were found. This paper will describe the syntheses of the new ETPEs and the solutions proposed to solve the exudation issue. Furthermore, the preparations of the GIM explosives and results obtained from the IM testing will be discussed.

Topics: Synthesis and characterization, environmental aspects of IM

INTRODUCTION

The work related to the development of new energetic materials for munitions has traditionally been concentrated on finding new molecules that are either more powerful and store more energy than traditional ones. Nowadays, more efforts are made at developing less sensitive to unplanned stimuli formulations that can be used in Insensitive Munitions (IM). DRDC Valcartier has invested a lot of efforts at developing insensitive munitions over the last two decades. To achieve this goal, energetic thermoplastic elastomers were developed with Glycidyl Azide Polymers (GAP) to be introduced as binders in new insensitive explosives and gun propellant formulations (Refs. 1-5). Since Canada uses a large percentage of its munitions during training in our domestic training areas, the environmental aspects of using live firing weapons at home raised issues that were addressed by the DRDC Valcartier Sustainable Training Programme. Most of the Canadian Forces Bases were characterized for contamination by energetic materials. The analytical chemistry, sample treatment and the sampling strategy were intensely studied and described in a protocol by Thiboutot et al. (Ref. 6). The fate and behaviour of munitions constituents were also studied for the most common explosives and energetic materials. All this tremendous amount of work demonstrated that normally functioning munitions only spread a very small amount of energetic residues in the environment. Most of the contamination in impact areas comes from unexploded ordnances (UXOs) that are cracked open by the detonation of an incoming round, by incomplete (low-order) detonations, by the destruction of duds or by the corrosion of UXOs. It was also found that RDX has a bad environmental fate and behaviour, meaning that it does not degrade in soil and, because of its solubility in water, migrates easily to groundwater and off military property.

In addition to this, it was found that the firing positions are impacted with energetic residues coming from the incomplete combustion of gun propellant during live firing and from the burning of excess gun propellant bags at firing positions. To solve the issue of the burning of the excess propellant bags, a burning table was constructed and implemented in all Canadian bases (Refs. 7, 8). In parallel, most of the weapon platforms

were fired to understand and determine the deposition rates of propellant residues at the firing positions (Ref. 9).

Considering that the Sustainable Training programme demonstrated that the environmental issues come mainly from UXOs, that high order detonations lead to forensic traces of explosives (Ref. 10), also considering the fate and behaviour understanding developed by our main collaborators from the Biotechnology Research Institute (BRI), it was understood that RDX must be avoided. Based on the fact that most environmental impacts are related to UXOs, it was then decided to propose the development of a green weapon based on a zero dud rate munitions containing green explosives and propellants (Ref. 11). This project, named RIGHTTRAC, is a five-year technology demonstration project aiming to show that green and insensitive munitions have better properties than current munitions and that it is feasible to implement a safer weapon solution that would ease the environmental pressure on ranges and training areas, and decrease the health hazards for the users. The approach chosen to develop such green munitions was to develop a self-destruct fuze to avoid UXO production and to replace RDX by HMX in insensitive explosive and propellant formulations.

The GIM insensitive melt cast explosive was chosen to be introduced in the RIGHTTRAC demonstration weapon based on its good insensitive and toxicity results. This insensitive melt cast explosive is produced by dissolving the ETPE in melted Octol and upon cooling, the GIM explosive is isolated. Melted TNT acts as a solvent for the ETPE to dissolve and replacing RDX by HMX gives the green character to the formulation. On the other hand, there is still TNT in the GIM explosive and it is known that TNT is toxic but the environmental fate and transport of TNT was demonstrated by Sharamata and Monteil-Rivera (Refs. 12, 13). They showed that in the environment, this explosive degrades rapidly by photolysis or biotransformation into 2- and 4-amino dinitrotoluene and other metabolites that form covalent bonds with organic matter of soils, making it not bioavailable. This means that TNT, once released in the environment, reacts and cannot reach receptors, making it environmentally friendly when used in live firing activities. This was demonstrated on anti-tank ranges in Canada by Mailloux et al.

(Ref. 14). So, the idea of dissolving the ETPE in melted TNT was studied and resulted first in the development of an insensitive explosive named “XRT” or “eXperimental Rubbery TNT”. This explosive was obtained by mixing the ETPE with melted Composition B. The nitramine RDX has proven to be both toxic and highly mobile in the environment, while HMX is much less so, soluble, toxic and mobile. Changing RDX for HMX using Octol instead of Composition B mixed with ETPEs led directly to the development of a new greener insensitive recyclable explosive (GIM).

These energetic thermoplastic elastomers were prepared by reacting Glycidyl Azide polymers (GAP) of molecular weight 2000 as macromonomers with 4,4'-methylenebis(phenylisocyanate). By doing so, energetic copolyurethane thermoplastic elastomers were obtained and these rubbery materials physically cross-linked were dissolved in melted Octol to get the GIM explosives (Refs. 4, 15). Since ETPEs are recyclable, they allow an easier disposal and reuse of the formulations at the end of their life cycle. Many approaches were taken to develop ETPEs and the complete description of Glycidyl Azide polymers, their synthesis and the ETPEs obtained from them were described (Refs. 3, 5).

The new GIM explosive was extensively studied. The mechanical properties of the ETPEs and the quantity of ETPE into the formulations were adjusted to optimise the melt cast explosive formulation viscosity to be suitable for processing in existing melt cast facilities at low viscosity and to behave as an insensitive explosive in the IM tests. Work was conducted on GIM explosive to test its performance and sensitivity, its fate and behaviour into the environment, its recycling capability and its toxicity. Some results for the fate and transport of the GIM explosive can be found in the literature (Ref. 16). Furthermore, a paper describing all these results related to the characterization of the performance, the IM character, the fate and environmental behaviour that encompasses dissolution rate, transport and fate in soil and in water, and toxicity measurements is in preparation and will soon be published in International Journal of Energetic Materials and Chemical Propulsion (IJEMCP).

During the testing of the explosive for the RIGHTTRAC project, ageing testing was conducted at 70°C for 320 hours and it appeared that a mixture of polymers with TNT exuded from the formulations jeopardizing the very existence of this explosive. Intensive work was conducted to understand this unforeseen result and it was found that the structure of the copolymers was the problem. Many curing reactions were done to increase the molecular weight at the upper limit and this solved the issue. This paper describes this work and the final conclusion to get the best ETPEs that lead to GIM explosive without showing exudation in the ageing test.

THEORY

In conventional Plastic Bonded Explosive also named Polymer Bonded Explosive (PBX), inert polymers are reacted with isocyanates to obtain a binder that protects the explosives from shock and other stimuli giving the insensitive character to these formulations. This binder is a chemically crosslinked matrix produced usually by reacting a telechelic difunctional hydroxyl terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) with a diisocyanate and a mixture of triol/triisocyanate to ensure the chemical reticulation. In such systems, different mechanical properties of the binder can be obtained by adjusting the parameters of the curing reaction and the component concentrations, which results in varying the crosslink density of the matrix.

In the GIM explosive, the ETPEs based on GAP 2000 are used as binders to mimic this chemically crosslinked matrix and get an insensitive recyclable formulation. Thermoplastic elastomers are copolymers of type ABA or AB, where A and B are respectively the hard segment and the soft segment (Ref. 17). The hard segment is capable of crystallization or association and gives the thermoplastic behaviour to the copolymer, whereas the soft segment gives the elastomeric behaviour to the copolymer. The thermoplastic behaviour is the result of crystalline domain formation by chain associations due to reversible interactions such as dipole-dipole interactions, hydrogen bonding, etc. In the present case, the copolyurethane thermoplastic elastomers are formed by hydrogen bonding. In practice, at room temperature, this thermoplastic elastomer behaves like a rubber because it is crosslinked in the same fashion as a conventional

elastomer, but with reversible physical crosslinks. Since the physical crosslinks are reversible, the ETPEs can be dissolved in melted TNT and could be mixed with the other components and processed. The GIM explosive is obtained upon cooling the formulation.

Polyurethane chemistry is well known and urethane groups are obtained when hydroxyl groups react with isocyanate groups. Water also reacts with isocyanates at a rate similar to secondary hydroxyl groups, yielding carbamic acids which decompose to liberate carbon dioxide in the matrix and form amine groups which are 100 times more reactive than primary hydroxyl groups. In our system, these amine groups react faster than secondary hydroxyl groups with isocyanates, yielding urea groups which introduce rigidity and brittleness to the polyurethanes. Moreover, these urea groups can react with a second isocyanate to give a biuret group, introducing covalent crosslinking between two polymer chains. So eventually, one molecule of water will react with one isocyanate to yield an amine that will react with two isocyanates and introduce chemical crosslinking. This is extremely important in our polymerization system since GAP has secondary hydroxyl groups and water plays an important role in the final structure of the produced copolyurethane thermoplastic elastomer. To obtain linear copolyurethanes without covalent crosslinking, the presence of water must be avoided in order to eliminate these undesirable reactions. This has been stated and emphasized many times but its real importance has been demonstrated only lately in the exudation tests where the final structures of the copolymers had to play an important role in the GIM formulations.

An important aspect of the polyurethane chemistry is the concentration of isocyanates and hydroxyl groups, i.e. the NCO/OH ratio. An excess of isocyanates will lead to covalent crosslinking by allophanate groups formation or biuret formation especially if water is present in a system where secondary hydroxyl groups are involved, as in our case. An excess of hydroxyl groups will lead to incomplete reaction and poor mechanical properties. Thus, the NCO/OH ratio has a direct impact on the molecular weight of the final copolyurethane and on the mechanical properties of this copolymer. Theoretically, when a diol is reacted with a diisocyanate at a precise NCO/OH ratio equal to unity, linear copolyurethane with the highest molecular weight is obtained. To demonstrate that,

Cooper et al. used poly (tetramethylene oxide), a dihydroxyl terminated telechelic polyether, as the diol, and polymerized it with MDI (Ref. 17). They found that the copolymer when isolated was a copolyurethane thermoplastic elastomer where the hard segment was the result of hydrogen bonding between the urethane groups. In our polymeric system, GAP is not really difunctional having a functionality of 1.6, the hydroxyl groups are secondary hydroxyl groups and it is almost impossible to produce the ETPE without having some water present in the system, especially with large quantities of ETPE to produce. All these aspects make our polymeric system more complicated to control and as a result, the molecular weight and the final structure of the copolyurethane thermoplastic elastomers more difficult to reproduce. Nevertheless, it was found that once the equivalent weights of the GAP polymers and the water concentration are known, it is possible to adjust the NCO/OH ratio to get reproducible copolymers with the highest molecular weight.

From an industrial point of view, it is imperative that no chemical crosslinking takes place in the mixer avoiding an unwanted situation where a rubber is formed in the apparatus. The commercial production of these ETPEs using this polymerization system was adjusted to ensure that no chemical crosslinking occurred by using a NCO/OH ratio below 1. DRDC Valcartier advised the 3M Company to use a ratio 0.02 below 1 to avoid potential issues. It was not realized then that lowering the ratio in this way would have such a negative impact on the thermal stability of the copolymers into the GIM formulations. At that time, we were more concerned by the dissolution of the ETPEs than by its molecular weight and structure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The GIM explosives were prepared by adding the ETPE at a concentration of 9.5% in melted Octol and upon cooling, GIM was isolated. The concentration of the ETPE is a key parameter and was adjusted to obtain the best IM properties while keeping the melt cast viscosity at the lowest level possible to allow the use of industrial melt cast facilities while minimizing the HMX sedimentation. Many IM tests were conducted with this explosive into 105 mm shells. These tests were also conducted with Composition B for

comparison. Bullet impact, sympathetic detonation, shaped charge jet and slow cook-off tests were carried out and the results were analysed based on a scaling from 1 to 5 and overpressure collected according to Annex A of various NATO STANAGs (Refs. 18-21). For the bullet impact test, the weapon used was 0.5 cal armour piercing and the bullet velocity was $850 \pm 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ as described in STANAG 4241 (Ref. 18). Evaluation of the reactions was done using the air overpressure and characterisation of the fragments collected. Composition B presented type 1 and 2 reactions and failed the test while GIM showed a type 5 reaction and passed the test.

For the sympathetic detonation, an overpressure sensor was used to measure air pressure. Outcome evaluation was also made by characterizing the size of the fragments collected, in accordance with Annex A of STANAG 4396 (Ref. 19). Composition B and GIM had type 3 reactions and passed the test.

For the slow cook-off, the test was conducted using an oven where the temperature was measured at the bottom and front, top and rear, top and center of the oven and also in the explosive inside the shell. Pressure sensors were installed to measure the overpressure, but no values were observed since only burning reactions were obtained. The evaluation of the results was done visually according to STANAG 4382 (Ref. 20). Type 5 reactions were observed for Comp B and GIM that passed the test.

For the shaped charge jet, the test was conducted using a shaped charge that was fired and the jet was oriented and directed to the shell. The air pressure measurement was performed by overpressure sensors. The result evaluation was carried out by these pressures and the size of fragments collected. In all cases, type 1 reactions were observed for Comp B and GIM and none of the formulations passed the test described in STANAG 4526 (Ref. 21). All the IM tests revealed that GIM has insensitive behaviour in the most current tests.

Stability and performance evaluation was also performed. GIM formulations were evaluated by vacuum stability tests (VST), BAM impact and friction sensitivity tests and

measurements of the density and viscosities of the melted mixtures. Furthermore, performance and shock sensitivity tests (gap tests) were also conducted. Vacuum stability tests showed a maximum gas evolution of 0.8 mL cm^{-3} . Impact sensitivity tests gave a 20 N m value compared to 10, 7.5 and 5 N m for TNT, Octol and Comp B respectively. The friction sensitivity test gave 360 N compared to 80, 120 and 240 N for TNT, Octol and Comp B respectively. GIM with 9.5% of ETPE has a density of 1.67 g cm^{-3} , and viscosity of 50 poises. The performance test showed that the detonation velocity for GIM is 7726 ms^{-1} . The detonation pressure was calculated at 24.9 GPa for GIM (94% of the value for Comp B). With a value of $0.76 \pm 0.01 \text{ cm}$ obtained for GIM, the plate dent test confirmed a value equal to 96% of that for Comp B. Large scale gap tests revealed a value of 188 cards for GIM. As a reference, Comp B has 217 cards for this test. The detonation velocity of the studied mixtures is between 94% and 99% of that of Composition B, while the detonation pressure is between 81% and 96% of that of Composition B. In general, the results showed that the GIM formulations are stable, have a reduced sensitivity to impact and friction, a reduced shock sensitivity compared to current melt cast explosives, that their performance is good and their behaviour in bullet impact tests is excellent (Ref. 15).

For the RIGHTTRAC project, most of the GIM formulations tested were produced using the commercial ETPE 2000 from 3M. Intensive thermal testing was performed on cylinders (3.1 x 26 cm) of these GIM melt cast formulations stored at 70°C for 320 hours. Unacceptable exudation of a mixture of polymer-TNT (54:46) was observed. After examining in detail the products in these formulations, it was concluded that the commercially produced ETPEs used in these formulations was not fully reacted and higher molecular weights for the copolymers were needed to pass the exudation test. As explained earlier, for the commercial producer, it was safer to do the polymerization reaction at a NCO/OH ratio slightly lower than one to ensure that no chemical crosslinking occurred in their batch reactor, but this resulted in a lower molecular weight of the copolymers and also a lower hard segment percentage. Having a lower percentage of hard segments resulted in a softer rubber that has less hydrogen bonds and this allowed the exudation of the material.

The synthesis of the ETPEs was repeated at DRDC Valcartier using GAP 2000 with an exact NCO/OH ratio of one and this led to a higher molecular weight copolymer with a higher hard segment content. This was confirmed by viscosity measurements of the GIM mixes at 95°C. For these measurements, many samples of ETPEs were used to prepare different GIM batches. The commercial sample from 3M, an ETPE sample produced in 2008 and, an older ETPE sample from 2000 were used to prepare GIM and their viscosities were measured at 22.7, 31.3 and 40.5 poises respectively. This means that the laboratory samples had higher molecular weights than the commercial one resulting in higher viscosities. Three additional ETPEs were recently produced using NCO/OH ratios of 0.95, 0.955 and 0.96 trying to push the reaction to its limit, without observing any insoluble sample. These ETPEs were used to produce three GIM candidates but unfortunately, their viscosities at 95°C are not available at this moment. These three GIM samples were tested at 70°C for 320 hours and showed respective exudation of 5.4, 4.4 and 1.4 g out of 550 g formulations respectively. As a comparison, the GIM with the 3M, the 2008 and the 2000 ETPEs showed an exudation of 27.2, 17.0 and 10.8 g respectively while pure Octol exudates 1.9 g in the same conditions. This showed that the recent ETPEs have higher molecular weights and as a consequence, little exudation is observed. For the ETPE prepared at a NCO/OH ratio of 0.96, it is becoming difficult to dissolve the polymer in melted Octol showing that the reaction is at its upper limit.

In addition to this, we realized that reproducibility from batch to batch was difficult to achieve between laboratory and pilot scale. It was realized that even with precautions, the water content may vary greatly between lab scale and larger pilot scale. When water is present, it gives chemical crosslinking. At very low concentrations, it helps achieving higher molecular weights but at some points, the polymers become covalently crosslinked to a point where they can no longer be dissolved. Since it is impossible or at least more difficult to completely remove water from pilot scale samples, we tested polymerizations at different water concentrations and it was soon realized that over 300 ppm of water, it is very difficult to get representative ETPE samples. From this point, we decided to fix the water content to 200 ppm. In other words, we dry the prepolymers until a water content

of 200 ppm is reached and we perform the reaction at a NCO/OH ratio of 0.96. This led to reproducible ETPEs and consequently reproducible GIM that has no or little exudation. So, by controlling the water as a parameter and adjusting the NCO/OH ratio at 0.96, we solved the exudation problems.

Finally, an important aspect of using thermoplastic elastomers in insensitive explosive formulations is that they allow easy recycling compared to cast-cured PBXs because they are soluble in organic solvent. This is why it is important to adjust the NCO/OH ratio to get the highest molecular weight while keeping the sample soluble. If soluble, the ETPEs allow recycling and recuperation of the most costly ingredient HMX in the GIM. It was demonstrated that the GIM products can be dissolved in ethyl acetate, resulting in the precipitation of the insoluble nitramines. The ETPE and TNT dissolve easily into ethyl acetate while the nitramines are insoluble. Upon filtration, the nitramines were easily recovered (99.9%). The analysis and spectroscopy of these recycled nitramines were identical to the original ingredients, therefore recuperation and reuse could be easily carried out. The filtrate contained the ETPE and TNT which could be separated using a Soxhlet with hot methanol as the extraction solvent. Then, TNT was consequently separated from the ETPE (Ref. 15).

CONCLUSION

Copolyurethane thermoplastic elastomers were prepared using Glycidyl Azide polymers as a macromonomer reacted with MDI. It was found that these ETPEs could be dissolved in melted TNT and that mixing the ETPE at 9.5% with melted Octol generated upon cooling a greener insensitive explosive named GIM. Recyclability, insensitivity testing, performance evaluation and processing demonstrated that this explosive can be processed in existing melt cast facilities, be recycled and perform almost with the same energy as that of Composition B.

Insensitive evaluation of the GIM explosive was done and bullet impact, sympathetic detonation, shaped charge and slow cook off tests were conducted. In addition to this, vacuum stability, BAM impact and friction sensitivity, density and viscosities of the

melted mixes were measured. Furthermore, performance and shock sensitivity tests (gap tests) were also conducted. All the IM tests, except the shaped charge test, demonstrated that GIM is insensitive. Vacuum stability tests showed a maximum gas evolution of 0.8 mL cm^{-3} while impact sensitivity tests gave a 20 N m value. The friction sensitivity test gave 360 N. It was shown that GIM has a density of 1.67 g cm^{-3} , and a viscosity of 50 poises.

In the thermal ageing tests, GIM explosives demonstrated unacceptable exudation. After careful investigation, it was realized that the source of the problems was the ETPE itself. For the RIGHTTRAC GIM formulations, we used the commercially produced ETPE and it appeared that the molecular weight of this polymer was not optimal. The synthesis of the ETPEs was repeated at DRDC Valcartier at NCO/OH ratios to get the highest molecular weights with a higher hard segment content. As a result, the ageing tests were repeated with GIM prepared from these new ETPEs and acceptable exudation was observed. Viscosities at 95°C of different GIM prepared using different ETPEs showed that the lower the viscosity of the GIM the higher the exudation will be. This is normal since ETPE with higher molecular weight will be more difficult to exudate and the corresponding viscosity of the GIM will be higher. So it was realized that to avoid exudation, higher molecular weights are needed while keeping the structure linear meaning the polymers are still soluble. This can be only accomplished with the optimized and controlled NCO/OH ratio. It was also realized that even with the same NCO/OH ratio, samples from laboratory and pilot plant were giving different ETPEs. Water was the source of the problem and it was decided that the prepolymers must be dried until a value of 200 ppm of water is reached and then the polymerization is performed at a NCO/OH ratio of 0.96 leading to reproducible materials. These latter ETPEs lead to GIM with no or little exudation.

Finally, it was demonstrated that GIM explosive has good performance, good chemical stability and is insensitive to most of the tested stimuli. It is easy to prepare in conventional melt cast facilities, has good mix viscosity and can be used to easily fill projectiles.

REFERENCES

1. Ampleman, G., Marois, A. and Désilets, S., “Energetic Copolyurethane Thermoplastic Elastomers”; Can. Pat., 2,214,729, May 2003, US Pat. Appl., 09/058,865, April 1998, CIP US Patent Application 09/ 517,458, March 2000, European Patent Application, No 0020188.2-2115 Sept 2000, Eur Pat 1130040, July 2009, US Pat. 6,479,614 B1, Nov 2002.
2. Beaupré, F., Ampleman, G., Nicole, C. and Mélançon, J.G., “Insensitive Gun Propellant Formulations Containing Energetic Thermoplastic Elastomers”; Can. Pat. Appl., 2,218,935, Oct 1997, US Pat Appl., 09/060,305, April 1998, European Pat Appl. 00307765.8-1218, Sept 2000, US CIP Appl. No 09/632,970, Nov 2000.
3. Ampleman, G., Marois, A. and Brochu, S., “Approaches to Energetic Thermoplastic Elastomers Using Glycidyl Azide Polymers”, Third issue of the series: Recent Research Developments in Macromolecular Research, Research Signpost, 3, 355, 1998.
4. Ampleman, G., Brousseau, P., Thiboutot, S., Diaz, E., Dubois, C., “Insensitive Melt Cast Explosive Compositions Containing Energetic Thermoplastic Elastomers”; U.S. Pat. 2002/0003016 A1, Jan 2002, Can. Pat. 2,351,002, March 2009, Eur. Pat. No 1167324, Nov 2005, German Patent, DE 601 15 327 T2, August 2006.
5. Ampleman, G., “Development of a New Generation of Insensitive Explosives and Gun Propellants”; International Journal of Energetic Materials and Chemical Propulsion, 9 (2), 107-132, 2010.
6. Thiboutot, S., Ampleman, G., Brochu, S., Poulin, I., Marois, A. and Gagnon, A., “Surface Soils Sampling for Munition Residues in Military Live-Fire Training Ranges”; DRDC Valcartier TR 2011-447, April 2012.
7. Thiboutot, S., Ampleman, G., Kervarec, M., Cinq-Mars, A., Gagnon, A., Marois, A., Poulin, I., Boucher, F., Lajoie, R., Legault, K., Withwell, S., Sparks, T., Eng, J., Cartier, M., and Archambault, P., “Development of a Table for the Safe Burning of Excess Artillery Propellant Charge Bags”; DRDC Valcartier TR 2010-254, April 2011.
8. Thiboutot, S., Ampleman, G., Walsh, M., Kervarec, M., Gagnon, A., Marois, A., Boucher, F., “Development of Burn Trays for the Disposal of Propellants”; Proceedings of the NATO AVT-177 Symposium on Munition and Propellant Disposal and its Impact on the Environment, pp. 20-1/20-27, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 17-20 October 2011.
9. Ampleman, G., Thiboutot, S., Walsh, M.R., Walsh, M.E., Diaz, E. and Brochu, S., “Propellant Residue Deposition Rates on Army Ranges”; Proceedings of the NATO

AVT-177 Symposium on Munition and Propellant Disposal and its Impact on the Environment, pp. 24-1/24-25, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 17-20 October 2011.

10. Walsh, M.R., Walsh, M.E., Taylor, S., Poulain, I., Thiboutot, S., Ampleman, G., "Explosives Residues on Military Training Ranges"; Proceedings of the NATO AVT-177 Symposium on Munition and Propellant Disposal and its Impact on the Environment, pp. 27-1 / 27-22, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 17-20 October 2011.
11. Brousseau, P., Brochu, S., Brassard, M., Ampleman, G., Thiboutot, S., Côté, F., Lussier, L.-S., Diaz, E., Tanguay, V. and Poulain, I., "Revolutionary Insensitive, Green and Healthier Training Technology with Reduced Adverse Contamination (RIGHTTRAC) Technology Demonstrator Program"; 41th International Annual Conference of Fraunhofer ICT 2010, High Performance Insensitive Munitions and Zero Pollution, PP 3.1-12, June 29-July 02, 2010, Karlsruhe, Federal Republic of Germany.
12. Sheremata, T.W., Thiboutot, S., Ampleman, G., Paquet, L., Halasz, A. and Hawari, J., "Fate of 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene and Its Metabolites in Natural and Model Soil Systems", *Environ. Sci. & Technol.*, 33, pp. 4002-4008, 1999.
13. Monteil-Rivera, F., Halasz, A., Groom, C., Zhao, J.S., Thiboutot, S., Ampleman, G. and Hawari, J., "Fate and Transport of Explosives in the Environment: A Chemist's View", *Ecotoxicology of Explosives and Unexploded Ordnance*, EET series text book, CRC Press Ed., 2004.
14. Mailloux, M., Martel, R., Gabriel, U., LeFebvre, R., Thiboutot, S. and Ampleman, G., "Hydrogeological Study of an Antitank Range", *J. Environ. Qual.*, 37, pp. 1468-1476, 2008.
15. Diaz, E., Brousseau, P., Ampleman, G., and Thiboutot, S., "Less Sensitive Melt-Cast Explosives Based on Energetic Thermoplastic Elastomers", DREV TR 2001-185, 2001.
16. Monteil-Rivera, F., Deschamps, S., Ampleman, G., Thiboutot, S., and Hawari, J., "Dissolution of a New Explosive Formulation Containing TNT and HMX: Comparison with Octol", *J. of Hazardous Materials*, 174, 281-288, 2010.
17. West, J.C. and Cooper, S.L., "Thermoplastic Elastomers", *Science and Technology of Rubbers*, Academic Press, Chapter 13, pp. 531-567, 1978.
18. STANAG 4241 PPS (Edition 2) – Bullet Impact, Munition Test Procedures, NATO NSA/0389-PPS/4241, 2003.
19. STANAG 4396 PPS (Edition 2) – Sympathetic Reaction, Munition Test Procedures, NATO NSA/0392-PPS/4396, 2003.

20. STANAG 4382 PPS (Edition 2) – Slow Heating, Munitions Test Procedures, NATO NSA/0391-PPS/4382, 2003.
21. STANAG 4526 PCS (Edition 2) – Shape Charge Jet, Munition Test Procedure, NATO NSA/1144-PCS/4526, 2004.